Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop., Minia Univ., Vol. 44 (4): 465 - 477, 2024

Minia Journal of Agricultural Research and Development

> **Journal homepage & Available online at: https://mjard.journals.ekb.eg**

Effectiveness of Spinosad as a biogenic insecticide in controlling desert locust (*Schistocerca gregaria* **Forsskål)**

Abdelmonim A. A. Ebrahim 1,2, a iD* , Mohamed Etheimine 3, a and Mamoon Alalawi2*.

¹Plant Pathology Department, Faulty of Agriculture, Minia University, El-Minia 61519, Egypt, ²Commission for Controlling the Desert Locust in the Central Region (CRC), Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Regional Office for the Near East and North Africa, Cairo – Egypt,³ Biology Department, Faculty of Science and Technology, Nouakchott University, Mauritania.

1. ABSTRACT

Desert locust, *Schistocerca gregaria* (Forsskål, 1775), is the most destructive migratory pest worldwide, driven by climate change, land degradation, and regional conflicts. It forms massive swarms which devastating entire crops and causing widespread food shortages. Current control measures depend on traditional chemical insecticides, which have detrimental effects on human health and the environment. In this study, we assessed the efficacy of Spinosad, a biogenic insecticide, against desert locusts. Spinosad (Tracer[®] 24% SC) was applied at 65 ml/100 L against various stages of desert locust (hoppers and adults). Under field conditions, our observations revealed that Spinosad induced a mortality rate of 71% in adult and 67% in hopper instars (nymphs) at 96 hours post-treatment. Furthermore, we assessed the proportional impact of contact toxicity versus stomach poisoning induced by Spinosad on locust mortality. Our findings indicated that contact toxicity had a more pronounced effect on both adult locusts and hoppers compared to stomach poisoning. Meanwhile, adults demonstrated greater susceptibility to both modes of action than hoppers. Additionally, we evaluated the residual levels of Spinosad on treated vegetation, and the data demonstrated a rapid decrease in Spinosad concentrations on plants, starting at 4.582 mg/kg one hour after application and declining to 0.098 mg/kg by day 6 posttreatment. Considering the results obtained, Spinosad can provide effective control of desert locusts, particularly when utilized as part of a comprehensive integrated pest management program.

Keywords: Desert locust, biological control, Spinosad, CRC

2. INTRODUCTION

Food security became a concern due to population explosion and global climate change (Vermeulen et al., 2012; Molotoks et al., 2021). In this regard, agricultural pests are one of the most important threats facing mankind from ancient times where they threatened food security and human wellbeing (FAO, 2019). The estimated annual

E-mail address: abdelmonim.ali@mu.edu.eg (Abdelmonim A. A. Ebrahim), mamoon.alsaraialalawi@fao.org (Mammon Alalawi) iDORCID for Abdelmonim A.A. Ebrahim: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9857-8385.

^{*} Corresponding authors: Abdelmonim A. A. Ebrahim and Mamoon Alalawi

^aThese authors contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.

loss caused by agricultural pests in global agricultural production is about 35-45% of total production, i.e. almost half of the production (Junaid et al., 2024). Desert locust, *Schistocerca gregaria* (Forsskål, 1775), is the world's most destructive migratory pest, driven by climate change, land degradation, and regional conflicts (FAO, 2020; Tang et al., 2023). It's a highly mobile and famished eaters, where a single swarm can travel more than 90 miles a day, have 80 million locusts, and eat the same amount of food per day as 35,000 people, and as a migratory pest, desert locust invading more than 60 countries (FAO, 2024). In addition, climate change, especially global warming, affects the geographical distribution of species on earth (Meynard et al., 2017), and recent studies showed that over the past five years, the damage caused by desert locust was increased dramatically as a results of climate changes. Also, predictions indicates that the danger of desert locust may increase in the region due to the continues of these environmental consequences (Salih et al., 2020). Thus, numerous strategies are required to reduce the severity of desert locust, specially through promoting of preventive control strategy which provides means of preparation, monitoring, warnings and alerts and early intervention against desert locust infestations (Zhang et al., 2019).

Pesticide application has consistently been an effective method for controlling locusts. However, its use in agricultural systems is associated with several issues, including the mortality of non-target organisms, severe environmental pollution, and adverse effects on human health (Prior and Streett, 1997; van der Valk, 1997 and 1999). Hence, a continuous effort has been made to mitigating these negative effects by developing alternative pesticides with fewer

negative impacts on the environment. These efforts include developing of contactingkilling and short-lasting insecticides such as organophosphorus, carbamates, and pyrethroids to replace 'Dieldrin' and other organochlorine pesticides (Ahmad et al., 2020). However, these compounds still have a high toxicity and causing a great damage to the environmental and ecological system (Ore et al., 2023; Gonzales et al., 2024). With increasing awareness of the negative impact of chemical pesticides on environment make it a must to find alternative means of control which are ecofriendly and sustainable, such as biopesticides (Van der Valk, 2006; Van Huis, 2006). When applied at their recommended dose, biological pesticides are usually characterized with their high specificity, low adverse impact on the environment, and are nontoxic to humans and livestock (Lomer et al., 2001; Kooyman, 2003). One of the successful biological measures against desert locust is the use of entomopathogenic fungi; *Metarhizium acridum* (Lomer et al., 2001), this entomopathogenic fungus has proven to be a valuable tool in managing locust outbreaks. Also, Spinosad, the biogenic insecticide has been tested to control desert locust and the African migratory locust, *Locusta migratoria migratorioides*, with promising results (Soliman et al., 2019; Mohamad et al., 2020).

Spinosad, consists of spinosyn A and spinosyn D, which are fermentation products of the soil inhabiting actinomycete *Saccharopolyspora spinosa* (Tompson et al., 2000). This naturalities biopesticide is a promising alternative to the conventional pesticides. It characterized by its high effective against many pests, lower toxicity on mammalian compared to the synthetic insecticides, poses minimum risk to humans and wildlife, quickly degradation in the environment, and with little or no effect on beneficial species (Williams and Valle, 2003). Spinosad is a neurotoxin that has both contact and stomach activity, and its mode of action is primarily through altering the function of GABA-gated chloride channels (Salgado et al., 1998; Tompson et al., 2000). However, the relative contribution of oral and contact toxicities to overall susceptibility of stored-grain insects to Spinosad is unknown (fang et al., 2002).

The Commission of Desert Locust Control in the Central Region (CRC), was established in 1967 and is the largest of the three FAO regional commissions, promotes the developing of prevention and costeffective strategies, and introduces new technology and ecofriendly alternatives such as use of biopesticides instead of synthetic pesticides, which would enable countries to deal efficiently and effectively to save agricultural production and secures food security and human well-being against locust infestations. Therefore, and to support the development of effective alternatives to

existing pesticides, in this study we aimed to assess the efficacy of Spinosad (trade name: Tracer[®] 24% SC), against various stages (hoppers and adults) of desert locust.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1. **Location of the study**

The experiments were carried out in Wadi El-Na'am of Abu-Ramad city (23°30′ 31.4″ N/ 36°12′18 .8″ E), about 650 km South Hurghada city, Red Sea governorate, Egypt (Figure 1). This site has subtropical climate condition characterized by a hot season (May to September) with an average temperature of 31°C and a cool season (December to March) with an average temperature of 23 °C. This area known as a breeding location for desert locust, with winter breeding season extended from October to April every year. The study was conducted during the winter breeding season, from February to April 2024. The ground was >75% covered with green vegetation of *Zygophyllum simplex* herb (Figure 1). The average temperature and relative humidity during the study were 21° C \pm 2 and 68% \pm 7 respectively.

Figure 1: The location of the experiment illustrates the condition of the vegetation, with treated plots indicated by flags and wooden cages employed for confining insects post-treatment.

3.2. **Tested insecticide**

Spinosad, a natural insecticide synthesized by the soil bacterium, *Saccharopolyspora spinosa* (Thompson et al 1997 and 2000). Trade Name: Tracer 24 % SC (Suspension Concentrate), used at rate of 65 ml/100L of water.

3.3. **Field Trial**

Spinosad as Tracer® 24% SC (Corteva Agriscience, Indianapolis, USA), was applied at 65ml/100L water. One control plot, and two treatment plots each measuring 50 m \times 21 m (1/4 acre), were marked out in the area, with an average distance of 100 m between plots. Control plot was upwind in relation to other plots and sprayed only with water. Plots were sprayed in early morning (wind speed: 3 m/second measured using anemometer, temperature: 23°C and relative humidity: 53%. Spraying was conducted using a backpack sprayer (air pressure Taral port 512 Knapsack mist blower, flow scale graded from 1 to 5; filled volume application rate (var) 101 L/ha. The nozzle number 4 was used with a flow rate (FR) 3.3 L/min and spraying height was 0.5 m, forward speed of 3.9 km/h, and track spacing of 5 m according to wind velocity. Each treated plot was represented by a wooden cage (1.00 m \times 1.00 m) (figure 1), with wire-gauze sides. Approximately 150 hoppers (nymphs) of the 4^{th} and 5^{th} instars, along with 150 adults, were captured and confined in each cage. These cages were positioned in the spray lines during application with their open side facing the spray drift. following treatment, cages were maintained in the treated area under field conditions, and locusts (hoppers and adults) were fed daily on plants from the same plot. Mortality rates were daily recorded at 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours post treatment. Each treatment was replicated twice.

3.4. **Effect of Spinosad as stomach poison**

To evaluate the effect of Spinosad as a stomach poisoning, 200 locusts (100 adults and $100 \dot{4}^{\text{th}}$ nymphal instar) were confined in wooden cages with wire-gauze sides (50 cm \times 50 cm \times 60 cm) dimensions. Caged locusts were fed for 24 hours with air dried vegetation collected from treated plot one hour after spraying. Mortality was recorded at 6, 24, 48, 72 and 96 h after treatment. Locusts fed on plants treated with water were used as a control.

3.5. **Effect of Spinosad as a contact (nerve) toxin**

To assess the toxicity of Spinosad as a contact insecticide, locusts (adults and hoppers) were caged as described above. Cages were placed inside the spraying line during the application with its open side facing the spray drift according to the wind direction. Locusts were sprayed as described in the field trial (above) and left for about one hour without food (to allow air dried), before feeding on vegetations treated with water. Mortality was recorded at 6, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours after treatment. Locusts sprayed with water were used as a control.

3.6. **Spinosad residual analysis**

Vegetative samples (about 1 kg fresh wight) of *Zygophyllum simplex* plants were collected randomly from treated and untreated (control) plots after one hour, 24 hours, 48 hours, 72 hours, and 96 hours post treatment. Samples were kept in a labeled Ziploc bags and stored in the freezer at -20 °C, in dark before sending for further analysis by the Central Laboratory of Residue Analysis and Pesticides and Heavy Metals in Food (Dokki, Giza, Egypt). The residual analysis was performed according to QuEChERS protocol (Lehotay 2005;

Payá 2007) for determination of pesticide residues in foods using LC-MSMS, GC-MSMS, and according to the European standard (EN 15662:2018).

3.7. **Statistical Analysis**

Mortality percentage was corrected according to Abbott's formula (Abbott, 1925). Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA using web-based statistical software

[\(http://vassarstats.net/anova1u.html\)](http://vassarstats.net/anova1u.html). Means were compared using the Tukey's Honest Significant Difference Test provided by the software. Differences were considered statistically significant at *P* < 0.05.

4. RESULTS

4.1. **Effect of Spinosad on Locust mortality**

Spinosad Treatment significantly increased the mortality of adults and hoppers

of *Schistocerca gregaria* (*p* < 0.05), as compared to water control (Table 1 and Figure 2). Corrected mortality percentage was calculated by using Abbott's formula (1925) as follows: % population reduction $=$ [1- (post treatment population in treatment/ pretreatment population in treatment pretreatment population in control/ post treatment population in control)] X 100. At 65 ml/ 100 L of water Spinosad caused 10.4 % mortality after 24 hours post treatment reaching to 71.5% after 96 hours post treatment for locust adults. Meanwhile, treated locust hoppers showed 20.26% mortality after 24-hour post treatment reached 66.75 % after 96 hours post treatment (Table 1 and Figure 2). Meanwhile, there were no significant difference $(p < 0.05)$ between mortality rates of adult and hopper stages.

4.2. Spinosad stomach poisoning effects on locust.

Our data demonstrated that the mortality rate of desert locusts (adults and hoppers) fed on plants treated with Spinosad was significantly increased $(p < 0.05)$ when compared to water control. Results shows that stomach poisoning with adults caused a mortality percentage of 2.35 %, 46.30%,

and 66.3% at 6 h, 48 h, and 96 h post treatment, respectively (Table 2, Figure 3), giving almost the same mortality rate caused by the collective (contact and stomach) poisoning, (Table 1 and Figure 2). While in case of hoppers the mortality percentage recorded was 2.17% and 26.26 %, at 6 h, 48 h, and reached 49.3 % at 96 h post treatment (Table 2, Figure 3), much less than the mortality percentage of hoppers in case of collective poisoning (Table 1, Figure 2)

Figure 3. Impact of Spinosad as stomach poison on *Schistocerca gregaria* **(adult and hoppers) after 6, 24,48, 72, and 96 h of feeding on treated vegetation.**

4.3. **Toxicity of Spinosad as a contact (nerve) poison**

Spinosad has a slower effect on desert locust than other chemical insecticide which showed an immediate effect. Thus, the purpose of this experiment is to separately evaluate the (nerve) toxicity of Spinosad as a contact poison in case treated insects flayed or moved out from the treated area to untreated places directly after treatment (without spending feeding time in the treated plots), which may happen occasionally with adult and late instars. To evaluate the toxicity of Spinosad as a contact poison, locusts (adults and hoppers) were sprayed with Spinosad during the field treatments as described above and left to dry for about 1

hour before feeding them on untreated plants. Results (Table 2, Figure 4) showed that mortality percentage of treated adults reached 69.8 % after 96 h post treatment which is slightly higher than stomach treatment (66.3 %). However, locust hoppers showed a mortality percentage of 72.5 % after 96 h of treatment, which is significantly higher than stomach treatment (49.3 %). Data also showed that there is no comparable difference between the effect of the contact poisoning alone (Table 2) and the collective poisoning (Table 1), on mortality percentage of both adult and hoppers stages.

Figure 4. Effect of Spinosad toxicity administered as a contact (nerve) poison on *Schistocerca gregaria* **(adults and hoppers) at 6, 24,48, 72, and 96 h after treatment.**

4.4. **Analysis of Spinosad residues**

Spinosad residuals on sprayed vegetation (*Zygophyllum simplex*) were evaluated using QuEChERS protocol according to (Lehotay 2005; Payá 2007). Data in figure 5 showed the level of residuals at 1, 24, 48, 72 hours,

and 6 days post application. Results showed a quick decline of Spinosad remains on plants starting with 4.582 mg/kg one hour after application and reaching 0.098 mg/kg at day 6 after treatment.

Figure 5: Spinosad residuals (mg/kg), in *Zygophyllum simplex* **plants after 1 hour and 1,2, 3 and 6 days of treatment**

5. DISCUSSION

Desert locust threat to the agricultural system increases in the Middle East and has extended in 2019 to 2021 to the greater horn of Africa and eastwards to India and Pakistan (FAO, 2022). Conventional insecticides, used for controlling locusts including chlorpyrifos, malathion and fenitrothion (organophosphates), deltamethrin (pyrethroid), as well as the insect growth regulator (IGR) teflubenzuron, always causes a death of non-target organisms, and have a serious negative impact on health and environment (van der Valk, H. 1997 and 1999).

The Commission of Desert Locust Control in the Central Region (CRC) always gives its utmost support for the implementation of alternative control methods that respect the environment. In this study, we run a field evaluation to assess the efficacy of Spinosad, which is a biogenic pesticide on desert locust, *Schistocerca gregaria*. The study was conducted in one of the most important breading areas for desert locust near Abu-Ramad city, Egypt. The efficacy of Spinosad under field conditions was assessed, proportional impact of contact toxicities versus stomach poisoning of Spinosad on locust was evaluated, and residues analysis of Spinosad in treated plants was measured. For all experiments, Spinosad was applied at 65 ml/100 L against various stages of locust including adults and hoppers. Under field conditions, we found that Spinosad showed 71 % mortality for adults, and 67 % for hoppers at 96 h post treatment. Our results are in consistent with some previous studies who reported that Spinosad is effective against several pests (Fang et al., 2002^a , 2002^b ; Toews and Subramanyam, 2003, Toews et al., 2003). Also, our results are comparable to the studies demonstrated by (Hosny et al., 2010), against locust where, they mentioned that Spinosad caused 75 % and 100 % mortality after 24 h and 48 h, respectively.

Obviously, when we compared the effects of Spinosad as direct (contact toxicity) and as stomach poisoning, we found that adults exhibited more sensitivity toward both modes. Data also showed that when we compared the mortality rate of each stage (adults or hoppers) with each mode of poisoning, hoppers were more sensitive to contact toxicity (72.5 %) than stomach poisoning (49.3%) at 96 h after treatment. On the other hand, adults exhibited a comparable sensitivity to both modes of actions with 66 % and 69 % of mortality with stomatic poising and contact toxicity, respectively. This difference of Spinosad efficacy on locust adults and hoppers could be referred to the amount of vegetation eaten by adults, which is also exposed to a higher amount of Spinosad on vegetation as the surface of contact (body size) is significantly higher for adults than hoppers.

In addition, the residual effect of Spinosad was assessed at time intervals starting at 1h after spraying and then daily until six days of treatment. Results indicated that residues of Spinosad on vegetation was declined by approximatively 90 % after 24 h of treatment compared to one hour after spraying. This rapid degradation of Spinosad could be advantageous when it is necessary to run treatment in cultivated areas. Otherwise, with this quick decline it is recommended that treatments should be performed in periods when locust is actively taking food, to combine the contact and stomach effects.

In conclusion, bio-pesticides derived from plants and microorganisms, such as Spinosad, are highly recommended for locust control due to their high specificity, non-toxicity to humans and livestock at recommended doses, and minimal environmental impact. However, one challenge that may limit the effectiveness of Spinosad in the field is the potential for locusts to rapidly leave the treated area during or immediately after application. This movement of locust outside of the treated

zone reduces the biopesticide's effectiveness because locusts may not accumulate a sufficient dose to be lethal. Consequently, the treated area should be sufficiently large to ensure locusts remain on contaminated vegetation long enough to acquire an adequate amount of the treatment. Additionally, as a biopesticide, Spinosad acts more slowly compared to chemical pesticides, making it inappropriate in case of upsurges and outbreaks. Overall, within the context of a preventative strategy, the use of Spinosad could significantly enhance locust control efforts by reducing pesticide applications, lowering economic costs, and minimizing environmental risks. Additional research and investigations under varying conditions in desert locust habitats are recommended to further assess the efficacy of Spinosad as part of an integrated management system for controlling desert locusts.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

The research is financially supported by the FAO Commission for Controlling the Desert Locust in the Central Region (CRC). We are grateful to the General Department for Locusts and Agro-Aviation Affairs, Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture, for their support. Special thanks go to Mr. Tamer Abdelhamid and Mr. Mahmoud Abdelstar for their technical assistance.

7. REFERENCES

- **Abbott WS .1925**[. A Method of Computing](https://www.fao.org/ag/locusts/en/info/2094/index.html) [the Effectiveness of an Insecticide,](https://www.fao.org/ag/locusts/en/info/2094/index.html) [Journal of](https://www.fao.org/ag/locusts/en/info/2094/index.html) Economic Entomology, Volume 18, Issue 2, 1 April 1925, Pages 265–267, <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/18.2.265a>
- **FAO. 2024** Desert Locust. [Direct link](https://www.fao.org/locusts/faqs/en/)
- **FAO. 2022**. Desert Locust Watch. [Direct](https://www.fao.org/ag/locusts/en/info/2094/index.html) [link](https://www.fao.org/ag/locusts/en/info/2094/index.html)
- **FAO. 2020.** Five things you should know about an age-old pest: the Desert Locust. [Direct Link.](https://www.fao.org/newsroom/story/Five-things-you-should-know-about-an-age-old-pest-the-Desert-Locust/en)
- **FAO. 2019.** The State of Food and Agriculture 2019. Moving forward on food loss and waste reduction. Rome. License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
- **Fang L, Subramanyam B, Arthur FH. 2002^a .** Effectiveness of spinosad on four classes of wheat against five stored-product insects. Journal of economic entomology, 95(3), 640– 650. [https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-](https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493-95.3.640) [0493-95.3.640](https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493-95.3.640)
- **Fang L, Subramanyam B, Dolder S. 2002^b .** Persistence and efficacy of spinosad residues in farm stored wheat. Journal of economic entomology, 95(5), 1102–1109. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/95.5.1102
- **Gonzales-Condori EG, Avalos-López G, Vargas-Alarcón Y, Medina-Pérez JM, Villanueva-Salas JA and Briceño G .2024.** Simultaneous degradation of chlorpyrifos and profenofos in soils at sublethal concentrations in presence of Eisenia foetida and a native bacterial consortium. Environmental Advances, 16(100514), 100514.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envadv.202](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envadv.2024.100514) [4.100514](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envadv.2024.100514)

- **Junaid MD, Gokce AF and Bostani R. 2024.** Global agricultural losses and their causes. Bull. Biol. All. Sci. Res. 9: 66. doi: [https://doi.org/10.54112/bbasr.v2024](https://doi.org/10.54112/bbasr.v2024i1.66) [i1.66\]](https://doi.org/10.54112/bbasr.v2024i1.66)
- **Kooyman C. 2003.** Report of the Workshop on the use of Green Muscle® (*Metarhizium anisopliae* var. *acridum*) and Desert Locust adult pheromone (Phenylacetonitrile: PAN) to control Desert Locust hopper bands, Port Sudan, 10–20 January 2003. Roma: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- **Lehotay SJ, Mastovská K and Yun S J .2005.** Evaluation of two fast and easy methods for pesticide residue analysis in fatty food matrixes. Journal of AOAC International, 88(2), 630–638. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jaoac/88.2.63](https://doi.org/10.1093/jaoac/88.2.630) $\overline{0}$ $\overline{0}$ $\overline{0}$
- **Lomer CJ, Bateman RP, Johnson DL, Langewald J and Thomas M. 2001.** Biological control of locusts and grasshoppers. Annu Rev Entomol. 46:667-702. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ento.46.1.667. PMID: 11112183.
- **Meynard CN, Gay PE, Lecoq M, Foucart A, Piou C and Chapuis MP. 2017.** Climate-driven geographic distribution of the desert locust during recession periods: Subspecies' niche differentiation and relative risks under scenarios of climate change. Glob. Chang. Biol. 23, 4739–4749
- **Mohamad SS, Sobhy M and Kameel E .2020.** Evaluation of Spinosad residues as an alternative safety environmental agent to Chlropyrifos in plants and soil during control *Schistocerca gregaria* and *locusta migratoria* in the field. Egy Sci J Pestic); $6(2)$; $1-9$
- **Molotoks, A., Smith, P. and Dawson, T.P. (2021).** Impacts of land use, population, and climate change on global food security. Food Energy Secur, 10: e261.
- **Ore OT, Adeola AO, Bayode AA, Adedipe DT, Nomngongo PN. 2023.** Organophosphate pesticide residues in environmental and biological matrices: Occurrence, distribution and potential remedial approaches. Environmental Chemistry and Ecotoxicology 5, 9–23. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enceco.2022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enceco.2022.10.004) [.10.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enceco.2022.10.004)
- **Payá P, Anastassiades M, Mack D, Sigalova I, Tasdelen B, Oliva J and Barba A .2007.** Analysis of pesticide residues using the Quick Easy Cheap Effective Rugged and Safe (QuEChERS) pesticide multiresidue method in combination with gas and liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometric detection. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 389(6), 1697–1714. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-007-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-007-1610-7) [1610-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-007-1610-7)
- **Prior C, Streett D A .1997.** Strategies for the use of entomopathogens in the control of the desert locust and other acridoid pests. The Memoirs of the EntomologicalSociety of Canada, 129, 5–25. Ref. 94, pp. 337–44
- **Salgado VL. 1998.** Studies on the mode of action of spinosad: insect symptoms and physiological correlates. Pestic Biochem Physiol. 60:91–102.
- **Salih AA, Baraibar M, Mwangi KK and Artan G. 2020.** Climate change and locust outbreak in East Africa. Nat. Clim. Chang. 10, 584–585
- **Soliman MMM, Mohanna KM, Abdel-Fattah TTA, Moustafa ORM and El-Sheikh WEA .2019.** Efficiency of Agroecosystem Compounds against the desert locust Schistocerca gregaria (Forskal) and the African migratory locust Locusta migratoria migratorioides (Reiche and Fairmaire). Sci J Agri Sci 1(1): 30- 37.
- **Tang Q, Feng J, Zong D, Zhou J, Hu X, Wang B and Wang T. 2023.** Potential Spread of Desert Locust Schistocerca gregagia (Orthoptera: Acrididae) under Climate Change Scenarios. Diversity. 15(10):1038. <https://doi.org/10.3390/d15101038>
- **Technical Committee. 2018.** SIST EN 15662: 2018 - Foods of plant origin - Multimethod for the determination of pesticide residues using GC- and LC-based analysis following acetonitrile extraction/partitioning and clean-up by dispersive SPE - Modular QuEChERS-method. EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION. [Direct link](https://cdn.standards.iteh.ai/samples/61387/8e5e91dbfccc475a81bae8cfe4cf9a9e/SIST-EN-15662-2018.pdf)
- **Thompson GD, Michel KH, Yao RC, Mynderse JS, Mosburg CT, Worden TV, Chio EH,Sparks TC and Hutchins SH. 1997.** The discovery of *Saccharopolyspora spinosa* and a new class of insect control products. Down to Earth 52: 1Ð5.
- **Thompson GD, Dutton R and Sparks TC. 2000.** Spinosad- a case study: an example from a natural products discovery programme. Pest Manag Sci, 56:696–702
- **Toews MD, Subramanyam B. 2003.** Contribution of contact toxicity and wheat condition to mortality of stored-product insects exposed to Spinosad. Pest management science, 59(5), 538–544. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.660>
- **Toews MD, Subramanyam B, Rowan, JM. 2003.** Knockdown and mortality of adults of eight species of storedproduct beetles exposed to four surfaces treated with spinosad. Journal of economic entomology, 96(6), 1967–1973. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/96.6.1967
- **Van der Valk H, Del Castello R, Cressman K, Monard A, Eriksson H, Ammati M, et al. 2006.** Fighting the locusts… Safely. Pesticides in desert locust control: Balancing risks against benefits. Rome: FAO; 2006. p. 15.
- **Van der Valk H, Niassy A, Beye AB.1999.** Does grasshopper control create grasshopper problems? Monitoring side- effects of fenitrothion applications in the western Sahel. Crop Prot. 18:139– 49
- **van der Valk H, Niassy A. 1997.** Sideeffects of locust control on beneficial arthropods: research approaches used by the LOCUSTOX project in Senegal. In: New Strategies in Locust Control. Birkhäuser Basel. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348- 9202-5_50
- **Van Huis A. 2007.** Strategies to control the Desert Locust Schistocerca gregaria. In: Area-Wide Control of Insect Pests. Dordrecht: Springer, p. 285– 96
- **Vermeulen S.J., Campbell, B.M. and Ingram J.S. (2012)** Climate change and food systems. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 37: 195-222. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-020411-130608)[environ-020411-130608](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-020411-130608)
- **Williams, Trevor, Javier Valle, and Elisa Viñuela. 2003.** Is the Naturally Derived Insecticide Spinosad® Compatible with Insect Natural Enemies? Biocontrol Science and Technology. 13, no. 5: 459–75. doi:10.1080/0958315031000140956.
- **Zhang L, Lecoq M, Latchininsky A, and Hunter D. 2019.** Locust and grasshopper management. Annual Review of Entomology, 64, 15-34.

الملخص العربي

فعالية المبيذ الحشري)سبينوساد(كمركب حيوي،

في مكافحة الجراد الصحراوي)Forsskål *gregaria Schistocerca***)**

1 عبذ المنعم إبراهيم ، 2 ، مأمون العلوي ³ ، محمذ عثيميه 2

لقسم أمراض النبات ـ كلية الزراعةـ جامعة المنيا. ² هيئة مكافحة الجراد الصحراوي بالمنطقة الوسطي- منظمة الأغنية والزراعة -المكتب الإقليمي للشرق الأدنى وشمال افريقيا- القاهرة – مصر . ³ قسم البيولوجيا- كلية العلوم والتكنولوجيا- جامعة نواكشط- موريتانيا_.

يعتبر الجراد الصحراوي (Forsskål 1775 اَكثر الأفات المهاجرة تدميراً في جميع أنحاء العالم مدفوعا بتغير المنآخ وتدهور الأراضي والصراعات الإقليمية ويشكل الجراد أسراباً ضخمة تدمر المحاصيل بأكملها ونسبب نقصاً واسع النطاق في الغذاء. وتعتمد تدابير المكافحة الحالية على المبيدات الحشرية الكيميائية التقليدية، والتي لّها أثار ضارة على صحة الإنسان والبيئة. في هذه الدراسة، قمنا بتقييم فعالية سبينوساد، وهو مبيد حشري حيوي، ضد الجراد الصحراوي. تم تطبيق سبينوساد تحت الاسم التجاري (SC 24% @Tracer) بجرعة 65 مل/100 لتر ضد مراحل مختلفة من الجراد الصحراوي (الحوريات والحشرات البالغة). تحت ظروف الحقل، كشفت ملاحظاتنا أن سبينوساد تسبب في معدل وفيات بلغ 71% في الحشرات البالغة و67% في أطوار الحوريات وذلك بعد 96 ساعة من العلاج. وعلاوة على ذلك، قمنا بنقييم التأثير النسبي للسمية التلامسيه مقابل النسمم المعوي الناجم عن سبينوساد على معدل وفيات الجراد. وأشارت نتائجنا إلى أن السمية النلامسيه كان لمها تأثير أكثر وضوحًا على كل من الجراد البالغ والحوريات مقارنة بالتسمع المعوي وفي الوقت نفسه، كان الجراد البالغ اكثر حساسية لكلا التأثيرين مقارنة بالحوريات. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، قمنا بتقييم المستويات المتبقية من سبينوساد على النباتات المعالجة، وأظهرت البيانات انخفاضًا سريعًا في تركيزات سبينوساد على النباتات، بدءًا من 4.582 مجم / كجم بعد ساعة واحدة من المعالجة وانخفاضًا إلى 0.098 مجم / كجم بحلول اليوم السادس بعد العلاج. بالنظر إلى النُنائج التي تم الحصول عليها، يمكن أن يعطي مركب سبينوساد مكافحة فعالة للجراد الصحراوي، وخاصة عند استخدامه كجزء من برنامج مكافحة متكاملة